Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,500	HERTZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANNE M. GUSSOW	1643
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) ANNE M. GUSSOW.	(3)Robin Teskin, applicant's representative.	
(2) <u>DAVID BLANCHARD</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 March 2009.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 43.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed potential chairs amendments to place the claims in better condition for allowance</u> . <u>Discussed detection of SSQ ID Nos. 13 and 15-17 in RNA samples command to personnic DNA</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, (See MFEP Section 7.130.4) If a reply to the last office action has already been fluid, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equipments on reverse side or on alternate shaded sheet.		